



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/486,971

4-11-2000

933-154 PCJ

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1615

14

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rachel M. Bennett (3) Gollamudi Kishore
(2) Mark Milstiead (4) _____

Date of interview 8/2/01

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: claims on record

Identification of prior art discussed: Print out on record

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr Milstiead indicated

an RCA was filed and a claim drawn to a tablet was introduced. Mr Milstiead discussed
the essence of instant invention. i.e. applicant found that tablets of smaller sizes
could be prepared using the same amounts of SiO₂ and microcrystalline cellulose as in prior art,
but mixed differently. Mr Milstiead also indicated that comparative data might be submitted. The
allowability of the claims will be determined after careful evaluation of the evidence &
arguments.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be arguments attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Primary Examiner

Group 1600

Examiner's Signature